Course:	CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109
Instructor:	Ming Jin *
Response Rate:	32/44 (72.73 %)

1 - Please indicate the ex	tent to which	you agree o	or disagree wi	th each of the	follo	owing sta	atements:					
1A - The instructor was w	ell prepared.											
Ming Jin												
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequency	y Percent	P	ercent R	esponses		M	eans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.23%	I			5.26	5.20	5.24	_	
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Somewhat disagree		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Somewhat agree		(4)	3	9.68%								
Agree		(5)	12	38.71%								
Strongly agree		(6)	15	48.39%								
		•	•	•	0	25	50 100	Question	n College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.26	1.03	5.00	22610		5.20	1.12	6.00	3303	5.24	1.09	6.00

1 - Please indicate the exter	- Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:													
1B - The instructor presente	ed the subj	ect matter o	clearly.											
Ming Jin														
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency Percent		Percent R	esp	onses			Me	ans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.00		4.98	5.02		
Disagree		(2)	1	3.23%					0.00		4.00	0.02		
Somewhat disagree		(3)	1	3.23%										
Somewhat agree		(4)	8	25.81%										
Agree		(5)	8	25.81%										
Strongly agree		(6)	1:	3 41.94%										
			•		0	25	50	100	Question	ı	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.00	1.06	5.00	22465		4.98		1.28	5.00		3277	5.02	1.27	5.00

 1 - Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:

 1C - The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.

 Ming Jin

Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent I	Response	s	Me	ans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.13%	I.		4.75	4.94	4.91		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1		4.75	1.01	4.51		
Somewhat disagree		(3)	4	12.50%							
Somewhat agree		(4)	8	25.00%							
Agree		(5)	7	21.88%							
Strongly agree		(6)	12	37.50%							
					0 25	50 1	00 Questi	on College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD I	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
32/44 (72.73%)	4.75	1.27	5.00	22445	4.94	1.30	5.00	3267	4.91	1.35	5.00

Course:	CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109
Instructor:	Ming Jin *
Response Rate:	32/44 (72.73 %)

1D - The instructor foster	ed an atmos	phere of mu	tual respect.										
Ming Jin													
Response Option		Weig	nt Frequenc	y Percent	Р	ercent Re	esponse	s		Me	eans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.13%	Ι				5.38	5.32	5.29		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Somewhat disagree		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Somewhat agree		(4)	2	6.25%									
Agree		(5)	11	34.38%									
Strongly agree		(6)	18	56.25%									
					0	25	50 1	100	Question	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD		Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
32/44 (72.73%)	5.38	1.01	6.00	22424		5.32	1.06		6.00	3262	5.29	1.10	6.00

1 - Please indicate the exter	Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:														
1E - Overall, the instructor's	s teaching	was effecti	ive.												
Ming Jin															
Response Option		Weig	ght Freq	uency	Percent	P	Percent R	es	ponses			Ме	ans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.13%	I				4.97		4.97	4.95		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								4.00		
Somewhat disagree		(3)	3	9.38%		l i								
Somewhat agree		(4)	4	12.50%										
Agree		(5)	11	34.38%										
Strongly agree		(6)	13	40.63%										
						0	25	5) 100	Question	ı	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median		College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	STD	Median
32/44 (72.73%)	4.97	1.20	5.00		22321		4.97		1.31	5.00		3241	4.95	1.35	5.00

 Course:
 CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109

 Instructor:
 Ming Jin *

 Response Rate:
 32/44 (72.73 %)

Ming Jin	
Response Rate	24/44 (54.55%)
Was receptive to new ideas and enable	led good communication
• The instructor took the time to answe	r questions in class and made sure everyone was caught up before continuing with the content.
• I liked how he presented the topics in	real time by using a whiteboard.
• HW is definitely helpful and solidate of	ur understanding to lecture material. Besides, professor could always give us interesting and easy-understand lecture.
Have scribes posted under files.	
The lectures were clear and focused	
HW assignments	
• He provided a lot of examples and wo	rked through different questions. He also utilized polls to quiz us on our understanding and then explained the correct answer.
• Brought enthusiasm to all lectures an	d did his best to help keep students engaged during morning lectures.
• Recap the material from the previous	lecture at the beginning of each lecture.
• He had polls throughout the class and	always made sure that students were understanding what he was teaching by asking them to give a thumbs up.
• I liked the actual real-time examples a	and his style of lecturing. He taught the subject matter well.
• I love the use of the ipad to write out	he notes while teaching. The visual aid is awesome.
· He was helpful in office hours	
• I did like the scribes a lot, they help g	eneralize the lectures pretty well.
• The instructor was very good at prese our workloads may be too much.	nting information during class so that we were able to understand everything that was being taught. Additionally, the instructor gave us breaks when he felt the
He provided Latex notes that were ve	ry helpful and was very lenient on deadlines and homework assignments.
Being flexible about the deadline and	coursework
The scribe for lectures, lecture videos	and lecture notes.
His handwritten powerpoint	
• He provided in class demos and post	ed the code for us to examine.
Adaptability, responsiveness online, a	nd overall breakdown of concepts was very simple
• Explained topics well and stopped for	questions effectively
 Materials explained clear 	

3 - Please indicate the exter	Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:														
3A - I have a deeper unders	tanding of	the subject	matter as	a result of this co	ours	se.									
Response Option		Weigl	nt Freque	ency Percent	F	Percent R	les	ponses			Me	ans			
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.23%					4.97		5.08	5.11			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	I										
Somewhat disagree		(3)	1	3.23%											
Somewhat agree		(4)	7	22.58%											
Agree		(5)	10	32.26%											
Strongly agree		(6)	12	38.71%											
		•		•	0	25	5	0 100	Questior	۱	College	Departme	nt		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	ST	D	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	4.97	1.14	5.00	19815		5.08		1.14	5.00		3151	5.11	1.1	4	5.00

Course:	CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109
Instructor:	Ming Jin *
Response Rate:	32/44 (72.73 %)

3 - Please indicate the ext	ent to which	you agree o	or disagree w	vith each of the	following st	tatements:					
3B - My interest in the sub	ject matter	was stimulat	ed by this co	ourse.							
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequence	cy Percent	Percent Responses Means						
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.23%	I			4.00	4.86		
Disagree		(2)	5	16.13%			4.48	4.82	4.00	1	
Somewhat disagree		(3)	0	0.00%]						
Somewhat agree		(4)	8	25.81%							
Agree		(5)	6	19.35%							
Strongly agree		(6)	11	35.48%							
				-	0 25	50 100	Question	n College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	4.48	1.55	5.00	19707	4.82	1.37	5.00	3127	4.86	1.37	5.00

4 - 4A - What could you have done to be a better learner?

Response Rate 24/44 (54.55%)

Prepared better for the first quiz

· I could have gone to class more consistently instead of relying on the lecture videos posted to canvas.

· I could have attended office hours more.

· Definite doing the homework!

• Brushed up on calc.

Read the textbook more

None

• I wish I was able to better manage my time so that I could have spent more time taking notes and have a deeper understanding of the subject matter.

• I could have asked more questions during lectures.

· Asked questions more frequently.

· I could have been more active in class and re-watched his lectures to understand the material better.

• I feel like the course structure helped me learn a lot. There were many opportunities to learn and grow more within this course. I felt like I was a good learner. There were times where I missed class, and I wish I could have went more.

• Because the class was super early in the morning, sometimes I only joined the Zoom meetings to fill out the quiz and then later that day I would review the videos online.

· I could have attended all the classes

• Honestly, even though I had two other classes only, one class, Computer Systems, was causing me to focus all my efforts on that singular class so my focus was very divided.

• I could have started the homework's earlier and studied more before the quizzes.

· I definitely could've done some outside research and participated more during class

· Review all the math and stats fundamental and watch some Youtube videos about Machine Learning

· I could have spent more time on understanding the lecture contents.

· Spent more time

· Attend lectures more often. I have insomnia so often times I would go to bed really late.

· Done the readings in addition to attending the lectures

Attend class more

Ask more help when run into a problem

5 - 5A - Please add any additional comments regarding the course and/or instructor here:

Course: CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109

Instructor:

Response Rate: 32/44 (72.73 %)

Ming Jin *

Ming Jin								
Response Rate	16/44 (36.36%)							
You are absolutely the most nice and patier	nt professor I have ever had!							
• Most of my gripe about this course is how the quizzes/tests are structured or worded. Most of the time, it's asking for general trend, but there's usually contradicting evidence/scenarios. Reword to ask the general trend and make clear in course lectures.								
• Some of the assignments and communication tools seemed ill-prepared or just unprofessional. The Jupyter notebooks all appeared to be written in python2 which is deprecated in Google Colab. One of the homework files given to us had the answer embedded in the latex file. Additionally, it seemed like the syllabus was wrong sometimes which caused undue stress and confusion.								
Quizzes and assignments could have been	more consistent with material discussed in class.							
Every time I attended the TA's hours, I was	o go to the professor or TA for office hours. It always seemed like there was not enough help being given even though the material is tough to complete. s worried I wouldn't be able to ask for help on everything I wanted to because there were time slots to complete all office hours within an hour. I do dlines though. He was always very understanding in that aspect.							
He was a good teacher and helped me und	lerstand course material well.							
The course is a great introduction and I feel	I like I learned a ton! Thank you!							
• I think the class should be in-person. I think	some things are better understood in-person because we can use more examples to explain concepts.							
Thank you for putting up with us. You seem	n to very much enjoy teaching this subject.							
The deadlines and guidelines for assignment	nts for the final project were not very specific and the assignments were not posted until a couple days before they were due.							
 Professor Jin has been one of my favorite p to extending homework deadlines but I still fe 	professors during my time at VT. He presented topics clearly and was always looking for feedback on how to improve. He was very lenient when it came It like I learned so much during this class.							
I think it will be better to focus on the real approximately ap	pplication part. Knowing the fundamental and theoretical is important, but I think we spend too much time on those.							
Everything is okay								

• Loved the class! Very informative and overall a great atmosphere. I liked the hands on aspect on the course. I think that it was the most enriching aspect of the class.

• I think SVD was a bit confusing so going over that a little more would have been helpful.

• N/A

Deenenge Ontion		Weig	t Eronuar	cv Percent		ercent R					8.4	eans			
Response Option		weig	nt Frequer	icy Percent	P	ercent R	es	ponses			IVIO	eans			
Very Bad		(1)	0	0.00%					5.19	_	5.16	5.10			
Bad		(2)	1	3.23%											
Poor		(3)	0	0.00%	1										
Fair		(4)	5	16.13%											
Good		(5)	11	35.48%											
Very Good		(6)	14	45.16%											
			•		0	25	50	D 100	Question	1 I	College	Departme	nt		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	ST)	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.19	0.95	5.00	19663		5.16		0.98	5.00		3115	5.10	1.02	2	5.00

7 - 7A - Please add any comments ab	out the physical environment here:
Response Rate	12/44 (27.27%)
Not applicable. Online course.	

· It was an online course

• It was a good environment to be in however it was a little difficult given that I prefer a more in-person environment over a virtual classroom.

• Synchronous virtual lectures can be difficult to plan around. I had a class following this one so I had to be on campus for both, which made finding a quiet area to work in difficult. This made in-class participation even harder as attempting to talk in a quiet study area would be disrespectful to other students who are trying to focus. Overall, if a class is going to be virtual, asynchronous format would be more practical for scheduling purposes.

· The physical environment was respectful and fine.

• The physical environment was via zoom as an online class. I felt like the teacher did a great job based on the situation of distant learning we were in.

Should be in-person

• N/A

Good.

• Good

· It was online, neither good nor bad

Online

Course:	CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109
Instructor:	Ming Jin *
Response Rate:	32/44 (72,73 %)

I improved my ability to p	roblem solv	e.									
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequenc	y Percent	Percent R	esponses		Ме	ans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1		5.10	5.03	5.08		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%							
Somewhat disagree		(3)	1	3.23%							
Somewhat agree		(4)	5	16.13%							
Agree		(5)	15	48.39%							
Strongly agree		(6)	10	32.26%							
		•	•		0 25	50 100	Question	n College	Departmer	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Mediar
31/44 (70.45%)	5.10	0.79	5.00	19758	5.03	1.10	5.00	3135	5.08	1.09	5.00

8 - Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements: The textbook or course readings made a valuable contribution to my learning. **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means Strongly disagree 6.45% (1) 2 4.69 4.54 Disagree (2) 3 9.68% 4.29 Somewhat disagree (3) 3 9.68% 7 22.58% Somewhat agree (4) Agree (5) 8 25.81% 8 25.81% Strongly agree (6) 25 100 0 50 Question College Department Response Rate Mean STD Median College Mean STD Median Department Mean STD Median 31/44 (70.45%) 4.29 1.53 5.00 19631 4.54 1.44 5.00 3118 4.69 1.40 5.00

8 - Please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements:

The objectives of the cour	se were clear	ly explained	Ι.											
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			м	eans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	1	3.23%	I				4.90		5.05	5.03		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%					4.50					
Somewhat disagree		(3)	0	0.00%										
Somewhat agree		(4)	9	29.03%										
Agree		(5)	11	35.48%										
Strongly agree		(6)	10	32.26%										
					0	25	50	100	Question	ı	College	Departm	ent	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	4.90	1.08	5.00	19709		5.05		1.16	5.00		3127	5.03	1.18	5.00

8 - Please indicate the exter	nt to which	you agree	or dis	sagree with	each of the	foll	owing st	ate	ments:						
The out-of-class assignmer	nts were ed	lucationally	y valua	able.											
Response Option		Weig	ght F	requency	Percent	P	Percent R	les	onses			Me	ans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	Ι				4.87		4.97	5.02		
Disagree		(2)	2	6.45%					4.07		4.57	0.02		
Somewhat disagree		(3)	2	6.45%										
Somewhat agree		(4)	6	19.35%										
Agree		(5)	9	29.03%										
Strongly agree		(6)	12	38.71%										
						0	25	50	100	Questio	ı	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Med	lian	College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	4.87	1.20	5.0	00	19564		4.97		1.23	5.00		3108	5.02	1.21	5.00

Course:	CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109
Instructor:	Ming Jin *
Response Rate:	32/44 (72.73 %)

9 - The instructor related	heories and	concepts to	practical iss	ues.										
Ming Jin														
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequenc	y Percent	Percer	nt Re	espo	nses			Me	eans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1				5.16		5.20	5.17		
Disagree		(2)	1	3.23%										
Somewhat disagree		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Somewhat agree		(4)	4	12.90%										
Agree		(5)	14	45.16%										
Strongly agree		(6)	12	38.71%										
			•		0 25	5	50	100	Question	1	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Me	an	5	STD	Median	1	Department	Mean	STD	Mediar
31/44 (70.45%)	5.16	0.90	5.00	22134	5.2	20	1	1.08	6.00		3198	5.17	1.08	5.00

10 - I learned to apply princ	iples from	this course	to new situ	uations.								
Response Option		Weigh	nt Freque	ency Percent	:	Percent R	esponses	5	n	leans		
Strongly disagree		(1)	0	0.00%				5.06	5.05	5.05		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%								
Somewhat disagree		(3)	1	3.23%								
Somewhat agree		(4)	6	19.35%								
Agree		(5)	14	45.16%								
Strongly agree		(6)	10	32.26%								
					0	25	50 10	0 Questio	on College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.06	0.81	5.00	3109		5.05	1.12	5.00	3109	5.05	1.12	5.00

11 - Select below to indicat	e your level o	of assent wi	th the followir	ng statement	:"In t	this clas	s, my	instr	uctor was	approa	chable and	welcoming.	•	
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	ercent R	espor	nses			М	eans		
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%					5.32		5.22	5.22	_	
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%										
Somewhat Disagree		(3)	1	3.23%										
Somewhat Agree		(4)	3	9.68%										
Agree		(5)	12	38.71%										
Strongly Agree		(6)	15	48.39%										
		l	•	•	0	25	50	100	Question	ı	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD M	ledian	College		Mean	S	TD	Median	De	epartment	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.32	0.79	5.00	3122		5.22	1	.12	6.00		3122	5.22	1.12	6.00

12 - Select below to indicate your level of assent with the following statement: In this class, my experiences encourage me to continue studying computer science.

Response Option		Weigh	nt Freque	ncy Percent	Percent	Responses		Me	ans		
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%			5.26	4.93	4.93		
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1			4.00	4.55		
Somewhat Disagree		(3)	2	6.45%							
Somewhat Agree		(4)	3	9.68%							
Agree		(5)	11	35.48%							
Strongly Agree		(6)	15	48.39%							
					0 25	50 100	Questic	n College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.26	0.89	5.00	3125	4.93	1.30	5.00	3125	4.93	1.30	5.00

 Course:
 CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109

 Instructor:
 Ming Jin *

Response Rate: 32/44 (72.73 %)

	-			-					-		-				
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequency	Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses			М	leans			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	1	3.23%	I				5.39		5.46	5.46			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Somewhat Disagree		(3)	0	0.00%											
Somewhat Agree		(4)	1	3.23%											
Agree		(5)	12	38.71%											
Strongly Agree		(6)	17	54.84%											
			•		0	25	50	100	Question	ı	College	Departme	ent		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median	De	epartment	Mean	ST	D	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	5.39	0.99	6.00	3105		5.46		0.83	6.00		3105	5.46	0.8	33	6.00

Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	Frequency Percent Percent Responses					Means							
							0000	1000				ouno		·		
Not at all		(1)	27	87.10%												
Slightly		(2)	1	3.23%												
Somewhat		(3)	1	3.23%												
Moderately		(4)	0	0.00%	1											
Very		(5)	1	3.23%					1.39		1.51	1.51				
Extremely		(6)	1	3.23%												
		·			0	25	50	100	Question	n	College	Departmer	nt			
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median	D	epartment	Mean	STD	Median		
31/44 (70.45%)	1.39	1.17	1.00	3123		1.51	1	1.26	1.00		3123	1.51	1.26	1.00		

15 - Select below to indicate your level of assent with the following statement: In this class, I witnessed some individuals making derogatory or insulting comments about others.

Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	P	ercent R	esp	onses	Means					
Never		(1)	28	90.32%										
Very rarely		(2)	1	3.23%										
Rarely		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Occasionally		(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Frequently		(5)	1	3.23%					1.32		1.37	1.37	1	
Very Frequently		(6)	1	3.23%										
					0	25	50	100	Questio	n	College	Departme	nt	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median	Dep	artment	Mean	STD	Median
31/44 (70.45%)	1.32	1.14	1.00	3121		1.37		1.14	1.00	:	3121	1.37	1.14	1.00

Course: CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109

Instructor:

Ming Jin *

32/44 (72.73 %) **Response Rate:**

16 - What, if anything, could the instructor have done to improve classroom climate and equity?

Response Rate 13/44 (29.55%) • I think the instructor did his best given the course was virtual.

• Nothing that I can think of.

• -

• Nothing, Virginia Tech virtues were upheld.

• He did a great job to the best of his ability. Maybe there could have been more polls to improve participation.

- He is doing great
- Nothing
- The class was fine
- N/A
- The instructors did a great job.
- None

· He was great! The students were very professional in their behavior as well. Overall great class.

Not sure

Response Rate	14/44 (31.82%)
• Sandesh Jain	
• Sandesh	
• Sandesh Jain	
Sandesh	
• Sandesh Jain	
• Sandesh Jain	

18 - Please select an overall	I rating for	TA #1:											
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ency Perce	nt	Percent R	esponse	Means					
Very Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	5			5.06	5.27	5.27	_		
Poor		(2)	0	0.00%	5								
Fair		(3)	2	12.50	6								
Average		(4)	1	6.25%	5								
Good		(5)	7	43.75	6								
Excellent		(6)	6	37.50	6								
					(0 25	50 10	0 Questic	on College	Departme	ent		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	
16/44 (36.36%)	5.06	1.00	5.00	1107		5.27	1.17	6.00	1107	5.27	1.17	6.00	

Course: CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109

Instructor:

Ming Jin * 32/44 (72.73 %) **Response Rate:**

19 - Please explain your rating for TA #1.

Response Rate

• I believe Sandesh could have been a little more helpful in the sense by conducting more office hours throughout the week. I also felt very limited with the amount of time I could spend with the TA, and felt rather pressured during office hours to ensure that I asked all my questions before my "allocated time slot" was over

· He's ok. Not that great of a teacher though

• He helped explain concepts and spent a good amount of time helping students understand things better about assignments and concepts if they were confused

· Very accommodating for office hours and explained the material well. Great TA!

• Sometimes, Sandesh would explain things really well and other times he would not. I have even caught some mistakes in what he has explained to me in office hours and I would realize these things by myself.

This TA was very helpful in office hours and on providing feedback.

· He was very helpful the few times I was able to meet him.

• The TA was always very responsive on piazza and was always asking any questions that were asked

9/44 (20.45%)

· He was very helpful on HW feedback and during office hours.

20 - Please give the name of the second TA, #2, for whom you wish to provide feedback.

Response Rate 1/44 (2.27%) • N/A

21 - Please select an overall rating for TA #	2:	
Personal Option	Mainht	Erecuen

Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Р	Percent R	lesp	onses	Means						
Very Poor		(1)	0	0.00%	Ι				5.00		5.30	5.30			
Poor		(2)	0	0.00%											
Fair		(3)	0	0.00%											
Average		(4)	1	33.33%											
Good		(5)	1	33.33%											
Excellent		(6)	1	33.33%											
					0	25	50	100	Question	n	College	Departme	nt		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	College		Mean		STD	Median		Department	Mean	STI	D	Median
3/44 (6.82%)	5.00	1.00	5.00	548		5.30		0.97	6.00		548	5.30	0.9	7	6.00

22 - Please explain your rating for TA #2.						
Response Rate	1/44 (2.27%)					
• N/A						

23 - Please give the name of the third TA, #3, for whom you wish to provide feedback.						
Response Rate	1/44 (2.27%)					
• N/A						

24 - Please select an overall rating for TA #3: Means **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Very Poor 0.00% (1) 0 5.16 5.16 5.00 (2) 0 0.00% Poor Fair (3) 0 0.00% (4) 1 33.33% Average Good (5) 1 33.33% (6) 1 33.33% Excellent 25 100 50 Question College Department 0 **Response Rate** Mean STD Median College Mean STD Median Department Mean STD Median 5.00 1.00 388 3/44 (6.82%) 5.00 5.16 1.08 5.00 388 5.16 1.08 5.00

 Course:
 CS_4824_91322_202109: Machine Learning-CS_4824_91322_202109

 Instructor:
 Ming Jin *

 Response Rate:
 32/44 (72.73 %)

25 - Please explain your rating for TA #3.					
Response Rate	1/44 (2.27%)				
• N/A					